#### DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 322-9377

February 16, 1990

ALL-COUNTY LETTER NO. 90-18

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS
ALL DISTRICT ATTORNEYS
ALL TITLE IV AGENCIES

SUBJECT: RECORDS RETENTION

This All-County Letter is a reminder of the legal requirements for records retention and the identification of certain records which require extended retention periods.

#### A. Public Assistance Records

The Manual of Policies and Procedures (MPP) sets forth various retention periods for public assistance records. Generally, the regulations require that all public assistance (23-353), social service (10-119.2), and administrative claiming (25-815.38) records and their supporting documents be retained for three years from the date the State submits the last expenditure report to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). Case record material must be retained for three years after the date the last State expenditure report has been made to HHS for the period the records were last used to document eligibility. MPP Sections 23-353 through 23-356 set forth the requirements for certain records which have retention periods which vary from the general rule. While the regulations must be reviewed for a complete listing, the most common occurrences are listed below. Some records require retention periods of more than three years. These include:

Records and their supporting documents must be retained when there is an open Federal or State audit. This includes those Federal audits in progress and pending issuance of final reports listed on Attachment I, those unresolved Federal audits listed on Attachment II, and the State Controller's Office audits listed on Attachment IV. Counties are to inform contractors providing social services to retain all necessary records for audits which have not been resolved/closed.



- 2. Case records in which criminal or civil litigation was involved are to be retained for three years after the final claim is submitted for Federal reimbursement. These records include those which were used in the determination of eligibility, including denials, for or the amount of retroactive benefits. Other records in the case must be retained in accordance with the requirements for public assistance records specified elsewhere in this letter. Attachment V lists court cases involving SDSS which require the extended retention period.
- 3. The Form ABCD 278L, List of Authorizations to Start, Change, or Stop Aid Payments (or its equivalent), which bears the original initials or the original signature of the delegated county employee who authorized the specific action is identified as one of the records and supporting documents which must be retained in accordance with the retention period for the case record material.
- 4. The County shall retain Form ABCD 278L or its equivalent for a period of 10 years following closure in all cases where notification to do so by the <a href="mailto:child-support agency">child-support agency</a> has been received.
- 5. County welfare warrants must be retained for five years. Warrant registers must be retained for 15 years.

Other records may be considered as nonessential and need not be retained in the case records. Listed below are examples of those documents which may be purged from the case records:

- Documents or evidence (photocopies) provided by the recipient such as birth certificates and divorce papers may be purged (MPP Section 48-001.112) provided that there is a written record of the type of evidence and its pertinent content. This notation would normally be made in the case narrative. Original documents received should have been returned to the applicant/recipient.
- 2. Documents which were never used to document eligibility may be destroyed provided they have no potential of being used to take action on a case. For example, a note from an applicant canceling a meeting may be needed as evidence, should you determine a denial is appropriate based on noncooperation. However, once the meeting has taken place the note would be of no value and may be destroyed.
- 3. Records which were used to document eligibility may be destroyed provided three years have passed since the last state expenditure report for that period has been submitted to the HHS. These records must be retained longer when there are unresolved audits or court cases.

Attachment III and Attachment IV ("applied section") lists closed audit records which may be flagged for destruction.

We are currently reviewing all the child support audits to determine their status. If you have any questions on record retention regarding a child support audit that is not listed on the attachments, please contact your child support operations analyst at (916) 322-6384.

#### B. Food Stamp Records

There are two separate retention requirements for Food Stamp Program records. First, all program records are to be retained for a period of three years from the month of origin. Second, all fiscal records and accountable documents are to be retained for three years from the date of fiscal or administrative closure. This means that records such as, but not limited to authorization documents, cashier's daily reports, Notices of Change, Form FNS-250s (Food Coupon Accountability Report), HIR cards, and tally sheets shall be retained for three years. However, any documents or records which are involved in any billing or claim shall be retained for three years from the date of fiscal or administrative closure. For example, FNS-250s which do not result in a billing against the state agency shall be retained three years from the month of origin. But, FNS-250s which result in a billing must be retained for three years from the date that obligations for or against the federal government have been liquidated. Also, any records or documents which are involved in a fiscal audit or investigation must be retained for three years from the date the audit or investigation is closed. To illustrate this point further, if an FNS-250 which originated prior to April 1986 had fiscal liabilities which were not settled until July 1988, that FNS-250 cannot be destroyed until August 1991. But, on the other hand, if the same FNS-250 had no fiscal involvement, it could be destroyed as of May 1989. Attachment III lists closed audit records which may be flagged for destruction.

Some records require retention periods of more than three years. These include:

- 1. Food Stamp records that are a part of an assistance case record must be retained in accordance with MPP Chapter 23-350. (See Part A of this letter.)
- 2. Records and their supporting documents for which there is an open Federal or State audit must be retained. This includes those federal audits in progress and pending issuance of final reports and the unresolved audits listed on Attachments I, II, and IV.
- 3. Case records in which criminal or civil litigation was involved are to be retained for three years after the final claim is submitted for Federal reimbursement. Records which must be retained include those documents which were used in the determination of eligibility (including denials) and those used to determine the amount paid as retroactive benefits. Other documents in the case record must be retained in accordance with the requirements for public assistance records specified elsewhere in this letter. Attachment V lists court cases involving SDSS which require the extended retention period.

#### C. Title IV-D Child Support Records

Federal regulations at 45 CFR 74.21 require records to be retained for three years from the starting date specified in 45 CFR 74.22. That regulation states that the starting date for the retention of (Title IV-D) records begins on the day that the grantee (SDSS) submits its expenditure report for the last quarter of the Pederal fiscal year. In other words, Pederal regulations require closed case records to be retained for three years after the date that the last quarter's State expenditure report is made to the Federal Government for the Federal fiscal year that the records were closed. More simply, case records must be retained for a maximum of four years and four months (the normal period of time that would occur between the date a case is closed and the date SDSS would submit its last quarter's expenditure report for the Federal fiscal year that the case was closed).

Federal regulations at 45 CFR 74.21 set forth the requirements for certain records which have retention requirements which vary from this general rule. Some records require a longer retention period. These include:

- 1. Records and their supporting documentation must be retained when they are the subject of an open Federal or State audit.
- Records and their supporting documentation must be retained when they
  are the subject of pending civil litigation or when court orders
  require extended retention periods.

It should be noted that the Federal Government has authority to audit records, regardless of their age, for as long as they are retained.

The provisions of this letter are for the fiscal purposes of SDSS and do not authorize the destruction of those records which have a longer retention period required by other laws/regulations, court cases, or unresolved audits.

The retention periods are the same for paper and microfilm records. For the conditions on the substitution of microfilm for paper records please refer to ACL 85-34.

Again we would appreciate any comments or questions regarding records retention by submitting them to John Driemeyer, Records Management, 744 P Street, M.S. 7-179, Sacramento, CA 95814, or by calling (916) 322-9377.

ROBERT GARCIA
Deputy Director

Administration Division

Attachments

cc: CWDA

This letter supersedes All-County Letter No. 89-81.

#### FEDERAL AUDITS PENDING RELEASE OF FINAL AUDIT REPORTS

# Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 01/01/90Page 1 of 1

ID Number	A Description	udit/Review Period (1)	Status	State/County Agencies Affected	Records Required to Be Retained
CA-IV-E AAP for FFY 85 and FFY 86	Federal Adoption Assistance Program Title IV-E Review	10/01/84 - 9/30/86	đ	Alameda Los Angeles Orange Sacramento San Diego San Francisco Santa Clara	В
CA-IV-A EAP for FFY 86 & 87; Part of FFY 88	Review of California's Emergency Assistance Program Costs	10/01/85 - 6/30/88	<b>s</b>	15 Counties (3)	С
27019-30-SF (7314)	USDA-OIG June 1988 Wage Match of Federal Employees Receiving Food Stamps in SF Co.	06/01/88 - 6/30/88	С	San Francisco	В

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(3)</sup> See Attachment IA.

B Case records, assistance claims, payment records, and audit-related materials.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials (e.g., ADP documentation).

c Field work complete; draft report in process.

d Draft report released. SDSS review and response in process.

s SDSS negotiating the cancellation of this audit.

# Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 01/01/90Page 1 of 5

ID Number	A Description F	audit/Review	Status	State/County Agencies - Affected	Exception Amount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained
20272	WIN	10/1/78 - 3/31/81	p	Alameda Contra Costa Los Angeles Sacramento San Bernardino San Francisco	Negotiated Final Settlement Amount:	A
50260-09	Refugee Resettlement Program	4/1/81 - : 9/30/82	р	San Francisco Los Angeles San Diego Sacramento Orange Alameda Santa Clara	Reduced by DHHS-GAB to \$22,941,862	В
62612-09	Refugee Resettlement Program		, p	Los Angeles	\$ 3,105,483	В
62614-09	Refugee Resettlement Program	10/1/82 - : 12/31/84	р	Orange	\$ 717,938	В
62641	Foster Care Payments to Profit- Making Child Care Institutions	7/01/80 - 6/30/86	р	Alameda Los Angeles	Negotiated Final Settlement Amount: \$945,805	В

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(2)</sup> County and State total of disallowed Federal funds identified in the final audit report; County/State share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

A Case records, payment records, and audit-related materials.

B Case records, assistance claims, payment records, and audit-related materials.

p Federal disallowance adjusted; recoupment is under consideration.

# Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 01/01/90Page 2 of 5

ID Number	A Description P	udit/Review eriod (1)	Status	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained
	Foster Care		р	Alameda Contra Costa Humboldt Marin Sacramento San Bernardino San Diego Santa Clara	\$ 451,325	В
CA-IV-E for FFY 84	Federal Foster Care Program Title IV-E Review	10/01/83 - 9/30/84	i .	Alameda Los Angeles Orange Sacramento San Diego San Francisco Santa Clara	\$ 7,285,416	В
CA-IV-E for FFY 85 & FFY 86	Federal Foster Care Program Title IV-E Review	10/01/84 - 9/30/86	i	36 Counties (4)	\$10,467,274	В

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(2)</sup> County and State total of disallowed Federal funds identified in the final audit report; County/State share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

<sup>(4)</sup> See Attachment IIA.

B Case records, assistance claims, payment records and audit-related materials.

i SDSS filed request for Department Appeals Board (DAB) Review; pre-appeal negotiations in process.

p Federal disallowance adjusted; recoupment is under consideration.

# Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 01/01/90Page 3 of 5

ID Number		Audit/Review Period (1)	v Status	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained
CA-82-DP	Costs Claimed for Automated Data Processing Services Under Title IV-D of the Social Security Act	3/1/79 - 6/30/82	j	Los Angeles	\$7,664,448 (Net dis- allowance \$4,874,431)	C
ACN 90258-09	•		g	Fresno	\$ 34,904	С

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(2)</sup> County and State total of disallowed Federal funds identified in the final audit report; County/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

C Administrative expense claims and audit-related materials.

g Researching further.

h SDSS filed request for Commissioner's Review. Decision pending.

j Final decision issued by Federal Office of Child Support. Audit disallowance applied effective with March 1990 quarter.

# Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 01/01/90Page 4 of 5

ID Number	Description	Audit/Review Period (1)	Status	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained
CA-88-IR, CA-89-IR	FSA-OCSE Review of Interest/ Investment Income Earne on Child Support Collections	10/01/81 - 3/31/89 ed	i	12 Counties (5	) \$10,887,307*°	* D
CA-86- PR/PM	Child Support Enforcement Program Review	10/01/85 9/30/86	S	All Counties	Minimum Potential \$24,000,000 Penalty (first year); increasing annually for two years for subsequent consecutive findings	G

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(2)</sup> County and State total of disallowed Federal funds identified in the final audit report; County/State share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

<sup>(5)</sup> See Attachment IIB.

D Child support claims, fiscal records and audit-related materials.

G Case records, child support collections and disbursement records and audit-related materials.

i SDSS filed request for Department Appeals Board (DAB) Review; pre-appeal negotiations are in process.

s Corrective action has been implemented; OCSE follow-up review is pending.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This amount is approximate.

# Food Stamp Program USDA/FNS

Status as of: 01/01/90Page 5 of 5

ID		Audit/Review	7	State/County Agencies	Exception	Records Required to
Number	Description :	'	Status	Affected	Amount (2)	Be Retained
10273-89	_	10/1/71 - 6/30/72	ę	34 Counties (6)	\$4,290,534	С
2714- 358-SF	Food Stamp Eligibility	4/01/75 - 6/30/75	n	San Francisco	\$ 806,800	E
27541-** 6-SF	USDA-OIG Reviews of California's ADP Cost Reporting & SAWS Standards		r	Butte Contra Costa Merced Napa	\$ 3,790	С
27600-** 1-SF	USDA-OIG Review of Administra- tive Expense for California's FSP	6/30/88 s	r	San Francisco	\$ 1,796	В

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(2)</sup> County and State total of disallowed Federal funds identified in the final audit report; County/State share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

<sup>(6)</sup> See Attachment IIC.

B Case records, assistance claims, benefit/payment records.

C Administrative expense claims and audit related materials (e.g., ADP documentation).

E Food Stamp fiscal records.

e DSS filed appeal with the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. Decision pending.

n SDSS discussing resolution of the audit exception with pertinent Federal and/or County agencies.

r DSS implementing corrective action to resolve the audit recommendations.

<sup>\*\*</sup> New since ACL 89-81.

#### CA-IV-E for FFY 85 & 86

# COUNTIES WITH CASES IN THE FEDERAL FOSTER CARE PROGRAM TITLE IV-E REVIEW FOR FFY 85 AND FFY 86

Alameda

Butte Contra Costa El Dorado Fresno Humboldt Imperial Kern Kings Los Angeles Madera Marin Mendocino Monterey Orange Placer Riverside Sacramento San Bernardino San Diego San Francisco San Joaquin San Luis Obispo San Mateo Santa Barbara Santa Clara Siskiyou Solano Sonoma Stanislaus

Sutter Tehama Tulare Ventura Yolo Yuba

#### CA-88-IR/CA-89-IR

# COUNTIES WITH CASES IN THE FSA-OCSE REVIEW OF INTEREST/INVESTMENT INCOME EARNED ON CHILD SUPPORT COLLECTIONS/10/01/81 THROUGH 3/31/89

County	Federal Funds Questioned*
Alameda Sacramento San Francisco Santa Clara Orange San Diego Los Angeles Contra Costa Riverside San Bernardino Fresno	\$ 706,269 216,283 685,677 1,403,525 322,418 1,674,826 1,954,184 219,552 1,383,386 1,139,511 516,648
Ventura	665,028
TOTAL (07-01-89)	\$10,887,307*

\* These amounts are approximate. At the release date of this letter, portions of certain of the individual County disallowances listed above were still being contested.

# AUDIT REPORT #10273-89 (10/1/71 - 6/30/72)

#### FSP Certification Costs

County	Federal Funds Questioned
Alameda Calaveras	\$ 224,577 265
Contra Costa	105,124
Del Norte	3,853
Fresno	81,951
Humboldt	23,999
Imperial	14,328
Lassen	2,163
Los Angeles	2,762,983
Madera	8,308
Marin	29,446
Modoc	394
Mono	139
Monterey	36,784
Nevada	6,574
Orange	100,158
Placer	25,544
Riverside	91,787
Sacramento	186,752
San Benito	4,660
San Francisco	175,369
San Luis Obispo	30,511
San Mateo	37,359
Santa Barbara	61,277
Santa Clara	160,168
Shasta	14,988
Siskiyou	6,410
Solano	22,449
Sonoma	24,361
Stanislaus	21,806
Tehama	2,228
Trinity	1,258
Yolo	15,123
Yuba	7,438
TOTAL	\$4,290,534

Status as of: 01/01/90

Page 1 of 4

ID Number	Description	Audit/ Review Period(1)	Audit Agency	State/County Agencies Affected	Exception Amount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained	Record Destruction Date**
PUBLIC	ASSISTANCE RECO	RDS:					
10262	Public Assistance Adm. Costs (Resolution of SCO Audit)	7/01/75 - 12/03/78	ннѕ	Los Angeles San Diego Santa Clara Fresno San Bernardino	\$2,278,142	В	12/01/90
10252	Distribution of Child Support Collections	10/01/75- 9/30/80	DHHS- OIG	All 58 Counties	\$4,263,577	D	12/31/91

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(2)</sup> County and State total of disallowed Federal funds identified in the final audit report; County/State share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

B Case records, assistance claims, payment records, and audit-related materials.

D Child Support Claims, fiscal records and audit-related materials.

<sup>\*\*</sup> These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

Status as of: 01/01/90Page 2 of 4

ID Number	Description	Audit/ Review Period(1)	Audit Agency	State/County Agencies Affected Amo	Exc ount	ception (2)	Records Required to Be Retained	Record Destruction Date**
FOOD SI	'AMP RECORDS:							
2744- 104	Food Stamp Investigation	10/19/77	USDA/OIG	Madera	\$	37,607	E	6/01/91
2714- 260-SF	Food Stamp Audit Cash and Coupons	11/01/72- 3/31/74	USDA/OIG	Los Angeles	\$	93,451	E	6/01/91
2714- 53-SF	Food Stamp Audit Issuance Losse	4/30/71	USDA/OIG	San Francisco	\$	2,676	E	6/01/91
2744- 61-SF	Food Stamp Investigation	1/23/76	USDA/OIG	San Francisco	\$	5,344	F	6/01/91
2747- 8-SF	Food Stamp Investigation	8/30/76	USDA/OIG	San Francisco	\$	1,265	E	6/01/91
2749- 19-SF	Food Stamp Investigation	12/24/74	USDA/OIG	San Francisco	\$	1,923	E	6/01/91
2714- 59-SF	Food Stamp Unreconciled Records	8/17/81	USDA/OIG	Santa Clara	\$	52,768	E	6/01/91

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(2)</sup> County and State total of disallowed Federal funds identified in the final audit report; County/State share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

E Retain Food Stamp Reports, FNS-250s.

F Retain Food Stamp Fiscal Records.

<sup>\*\*</sup> These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

Status as of: 01/01/90

Page 3 of 4

ID Number	Description	Audit/ Review Period(1)	Audit Agency	State/County Agencies Affected Amo		ception (2)	Records Required to Be Retained	Record Destruction Date**
FOOD ST	AMP RECORDS (Co	ont'd):						
2744- 98-SF	Food Stamp Investigation	7/22/76	USDA/OIG	Santa Clara	\$	1,000	F	6/01/91
2744- 103-SF	Food Stamp Investigation	6/23/77	USDA/OIG	Santa Clara	\$	1,394	E	6/01/91
2799- 13	Reconcil- iation of Issuance Shortages	7/1/71 - 8/31/78	USDA/OIG	San Francisco	\$	74,030	E	6/01/91
50267	Food Stamp Program Costs Included in Cost Allo- cation Plan Disallowed by HHS	4/1/69 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	San Bernardino	\$	414,455	C .	1/01/91
50268	н	3/1/69 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	San Diego	\$	566,178	С	1/01/91
50271	н	7/1/68 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	San Joaquin	\$	237,322	С	1/01/91
20144	Ħ	7/1/67 - 12/31/70	USDA/OIG	38 Counties(3)	\$3	,279,707	С	1/01/91
50250	41	1/1/71 - 3/31/74	USDA/OIG	San Mateo	\$	52,400	С	1/01/91

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(2)</sup> County and State total of disallowed Federal funds identified in the final audit report; County/State share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

<sup>(3)</sup> See Attachment IIIA.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials.

E Retain Food Stamp Reports, FNS-250s.

F Retain Food Stamp Fiscal Records.

<sup>\*</sup> These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

Status as of: 01/01/90Page 4 of 4

ID Number	Description	Audit/ Review Period(1)	Audit Agency	State/County Agencies Affected A	Exception mount (2)	Records Required to Be Retained	Record Destruction Date**
	AMP RECORDS (	Cont'd):					
50266	н	1/1/67 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	Los Angeles	\$1,773,081	С	1/01/91
50262	19	7/1/67 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	Kern	\$ 179,484	С	1/01/91
50263	H	7/1/67 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	Tulare	\$ 137,556	С	1/01/91
50264	н	1/1/71 - 9/30/71	USDA/OIG	31 Counties (	4) \$1,428,838	C	1/01/91
6027 <b>4</b> (5027 <b>4</b> )	u	1/1/67 - 6/30/74	USDA/OIG	San Francisco	\$ 216,900	С	1/01/91

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(2)</sup> County and State total of disallowed Federal funds identified in the final audit report; County/State share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

<sup>(4)</sup> See Attachment IIIB.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials.

<sup>\*\*</sup> These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

# AUDIT REPORT #20144 (7/1/67 - 12/31/70) Original exception amounts shown. In most cases, final amounts will be substantially smaller.

County	Federal Funds Questioned
- Constitution of the Cons	
Alameda	\$ 272,721
Amador	776
Butte	33,294
Calaveras	1,059
Contra Costa	157,837
El Dorado	16,189
Fresno	139,105
Glenn	1,368
Humboldt	925
Kern	164,468
Kings	22,131
Lake	7,946
Los Angeles	995,435
Madera	28,212
Marin	17,941
Merced	23,693
Monterey	42,278
Napa	8,192
Orange	89,040
Plumas	2,784
Riverside	13,929
Sacramento	180,955
San Beníto	3,182
San Bernardino	138,978
San Francisco	105,824
San Joaquin	106,506
San Luis Obispo	13,630
San Mateo	38,867
Santa Barbara	38,450
Santa Clara	291,439
Santa Cruz	49,413
Sonoma	43,889
Stanislaus	86,769
Tehama	5,529
Tulare	48,941
Tuolumne	8,644
Ventura	66,209
Yolo	13,159
TOTAL	\$3,279,707

# AUDIT REPORT #50264 (1/1/71 - 9/30/71) Original exception amounts shown. In most cases, final amounts will be substantially smaller.

County	Federal Funds Questioned
Alameda	\$ 244,351
Amador	951
Butte	14,950
Calaveras	1,814
Contra Costa	75,271
El Dorado	3,406
Fresno	138,238
Glenn	1,622
Humboldt	776
Kings	31,437
Lake	8,216
Madera	17,264
Marin	29,855
Merced	45,688
Monterey	37,248
Napa	6,538
Orange	123,182
Placer	777
Plumas	2,515
Sacramento	174,891
San Benito	4,975
San Luis Obispo	14,202
Santa Barbara	35,001
Santa Clara	245,885
Santa Cruz	26,774
Siskiyou	824
Sonoma	71
Stanislaus	58,320
Tehama	2,269
Tuolumne	4,410
Ventura	77,117
TOTAL	\$1,428,838

#### Unresolved Audits

The Counties on the following lists have unresolved SCO audits. All records pertaining to these audit periods should be retained by the Counties until final resolution has been made pertinent to all protested/appealed audit exceptions. The audits are listed below in alphabetic order by County. For those Counties having more than one unresolved audit, there will be an entry for each audit.

#### Audits Completed\*

County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of Audit Report	Amount of Report
Amador**	7/85 - 6/88	11/24/89	\$ 33,643
Madera**	7/85 - 6/88	12/15/89	68,960
Merced**	7/85 - 6/88	12/08/89	238,927
Shasta**	7/85 - 6/88	12/15/89	462,640

<sup>\*</sup>The final audit report has been issued; but at the time this list was prepared, the protest period was still in process.

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 89-81.

#### Protested Audits

County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of Audit Report	Amount of Report
Alameda	7/84 - 6/87	2/24/89	\$ 2,532,964
Contra Costa	7/83 - 6/85	11/27/87	3,783,117
Fresno	7/82 - 6/85	7/31/87	5,617,720
Fresno	7/85 - 6/88	5/26/89	2,430,502
Kern	7/82 - 6/85	1/30/87	2,527,181
Kern	7/85 - 6/87	10/14/88	897,363
Los Angeles Administrativ		11/21/86	48,582,432
Los Angeles Administrativ		6/24/88	23,057,661
Los Angeles** Administrativ		9/22/89	9,783,712
Los Angeles** DCS	7/85 - 6/88	6/30/89	29,675,134
Napa**	7/85 - 6/88	10/27/89	40,209
Orange	7/83 - 6/85	7/24/87	8,047,314
Orange**	7/85 - 6/88	9/15/89	3,713,142
Riverside	7/82 - 6/85	5/15/87	1,972,453
Riverside	7/85 - 6/87	12/30/88	1,468,773
Sacramento	7/83 - 6/87	2/24/89	680,036
San Bernardino	7/83 - 6/85	6/19/87	392,282

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 89-81.

#### Protested Audits (Continued)

County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of Audit Report	Amount of Report
San Diego	7/82 - 6/85	6/26/87	\$ 3,644,106
San Diego	7/85 - 6/87	12/30/88	948,278
San Francisco	1/77 - 6/79	1/30/81	9,745,728
San Francisco	7/79 - 6/81	2/25/83	5,656,263
San Francisco	7/81 - 6/84	8/22/86	19,134,593
San Francisco	7/84 - 6/87	9/02/88	15,158,850
San Mateo	7/83 - 6/86	11/27/87	1,021,433
Santa Clara	7/83 - 6/86	4/29/88	326,205
Santa Clara**	7/86 - 6/88	9/08/89	2,932,809
Shasta	7/80 - 6/85	6/12/87	2,243,519
Sonoma	7/85 - 6/87	12/09/88	539,183
Ventura	7/81 - 6/85	6/12/87	4,490,115
Ventura**	7/85 - 6/88	6/30/89	537,448
Yuba	7/84 - 6/87	2/10/89	308,914

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 89-81.

# Appealed Audits

County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of Audit Report	Amount of Report
Alameda	1/77 - 6/78	12/07/79	\$ 3,015,877
Alameda	7/78 - 6/81	8/26/83	6,344,452
Alameda	7/81 - 6/84	1/31/86	2,987,847
Contra Costa	7/77 - 6/79	11/07/80	1,929,100
Contra Costa	7/79 - 6/80	1/22/82	665,098
Contra Costa	7/80 - 6/83	10/09/84	2,324,721
El Dorado	7/82 - 6/86	4/22/88	69,096
Fresno	7/80 - 6/82	9/30/83	382,821
Humboldt	4/75 - 9/78	12/28/79	102,593
Imperial	7/80 - 6/84	10/04/85	225,046
Los Angeles	7/76 - 6/77	02/08/80	4,436,697
Los Angeles	7/77 - 6/80	6/25/82	21,817,942
Los Angeles	7/80 - 6/82	12/07/84	19,773,982
Los Angeles Adoptions	7/79 - 6/82	8/26/83	719,612
Los Angeles Adoptions	7/82 - 9/84	12/26/86	600,140
Los Angeles BHI	7/69 - 6/76	6/07/78	88,533
Los Angeles BHI	7/69 - 6/75	6/07/78	293,349
Marin	7/82 - 6/85	6/12/87	259,228

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 89-81.

## Appealed Audits (Continued)

County	Audit <u>Period</u>	Date of <u>Audit Report</u>	Amount of Report
Mendocino	7/79 - 6/82	8/20/84	\$ 191,014
Modoc	7/80 - 6/84	4/04/86	66,474
Orange	7/79 - 6/81	2/18/83	1,555,045
Orange	7/81 - 6/83	9/19/85	607,274
Sacramento	7/79 - 6/81	6/10/83	437,037
Sacramento	7/81 - 6/83	3/08/85	1,055,916
San Benito	7/78 - 6/80	12/18/81	124,064
Santa Clara	4/79 - 6/81	7/23/82	2,634,213
Santa Clara	7/81 - 6/83	12/20/85	947,129
Shasta	10/77 - 6/80	11/20/81	318,863
Stanislaus**	7/83 - 6/87	9/09/88	823,071
Tehama	7/81 - 6/86	6/05/87	13,957
Tulare	7/81 - 6/85	3/03/87	508,513
Tulare	7/85 - 6/87	12/09/88	35,335
Tuolumne	7/74 -12/75	8/13/76	2,555
Tuolumne	1/76 - 6/78	5/09/80	57,507
Ventura	4/79 - 6/81	10/11/82	2,112,795
Yolo	7/84 - 6/87	5/27/88	138,064

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 89-81.

Audits in Abeyance (No Appealed Issues) +

County	Audit	Date of	Amount
	<u>Period</u>	<u>Audit Report</u>	of Report
San Diego	7/78 - 6/80	9/18/81	\$ 1,032,224

<sup>+</sup> Decision letters have been issued on these audits and none of the decisions have been appealed. The only outstanding issues are those which are being held in abeyance.

### In Application

The audits are finalized; actions are now being taken to adjust claims so that there will be a proper State, County, and Federal share of costs claimed and to collect or pay any amounts due as a result of the audit.

County	Audit Period
Alpine**	7/84 - 6/88
Amador**	7/82 - 6/84
Calaveras	7/80 - 6/85
Colusa**	7/83 - 6/86
Del Norte	7/81 - 6/86
Humboldt	7/81 - 6/85
Inyo	7/82 - 6/86
Kings	7/82 - 6/86
Lassen	7/82 - 6/86
Mariposa #249	7/80 - 6/84
Mendocino	7/82 - 6/85
Merced	7/81 - 6/85
Mono	7/81 - 6/85
Monterey**	7/83 - 6/86
Placer**	7/82 - 6/86
Plumas	7/81 - 6/85
Riverside	7/80 - 6/82
San Joaquin	7/81 - 6/83
San Joaquin	7/83 - 6/87
San Mateo**	7/76 - 6/78
Santa Barbara	7/83 - 6/87

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL-89-81.

## In Application

The audits are finalized; actions are now being taken to adjust claims so that there will be a proper State, County, and Federal share of costs claimed and to collect or pay any amounts due as a result of the audit.

County	Audit Period
Santa Cruz	7/80 - 6/83
Santa Cruz	7/83 - 6/86
Sierra	7/81 - 6/86
Siskiyou #246	7/82 - 6/85
Solano**	7/82 - 6/86
Sonoma	7/82 - 6/85
Sutter	7/82 - 6/86
Tuolumne	7/82 - 6/86
Yolo	7/79 - 6/84

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 89-81.

Applied

County	Audit Period	Record Destruction <u>Date***</u>
Alpine	7/80 - 6/84	5/22/90
Colusa	7/78 - 6/83	9/25/90
Contra Costa	7/76 - 6/77	4/24/90
El Dorado	7/79 - 6/82	5/13/90
Glenn	7/75 - 3/78	4/27/90
Glenn	7/78 - 6/81	4/27/90
Humboldt	7/78 - 6/81	5/20/90
Kern	7/79 - 6/82	5/06/90
Kings	7/79 - 6/82	5/22/90
Lake	7/81 - 6/85	8/27/91
Lassen	1/77 - 6/82	4/30/90
Los Angeles Adoptions	7/76 - 6/79	7/01/90
Madera	1/78 - 6/80	4/27/90
Madera	7/80 - 6/85	8/27/91
Marin	10/78 - 6/82	5/13/90
Mariposa**	7/80 - 6/84	8/30/92
Mendocino	4/77 - 6/79	2/15/91
Merced	4/78 - 6/81	7/01/90

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 89-81

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

#### Applied (Continued)

County	Audit Period	Record Destruction Date***
Monterey	4/78 - 6/80	4/29/90
Monterey	7/80 - 6/83	7/07/90
Napa	1/78 - 6/82	5/20/90
Napa	7/82 - 6/85	8/27/91
Nevada	10/76 - 6/80	5/20/90
Nevada	7/80 - 6/84	2/15/91
Plumas	1/77 - 6/81	4/27/90
Riverside	7/77 - 6/79	5/22/90
Riverside	7/79 - 6/80	5/13/90
San Benito	7/80 - 6/84	2/15/91
San Bernardino	7/79 - 6/80	5/22/90
San Bernardino	7/80 - 6/83	7/01/90
San Diego	7/80 - 6/82	8/28/91
San Joaquin	7/78 - 6/81	5/11/90
San Luis Obispo	7/80 - 6/84	7/01/90
San Mateo	7/80 - 6/83	8/27/91
Santa Barbara	7/80 - 6/83	4/27/90
Santa Cruz**	7/80 - 6/83	11/13/92
Siskiyou**	7/82 - 6/85	8/30/92
Solano	10/78 - 6/82	7/27/91
Sonoma	7/79 - 6/82	7/03/90

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 89-81.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

### Applied (Continued)

		Record Destruction		
County	Audit Period	Date***_		
San Diego	7/75 - 6/77	4/08/91 (Abeyance Applied)		
Solano	10/78 - 6/82	7/27/91		
Stanislaus	4/78 - 6/80	5/21/89		
Stanislaus	7/80 - 6/83	5/07/89		
Sutter	10/75 - 9/78	5/20/90		
Sutter	10/78 - 6/82	8/28/91		
Tehama	7/77 - 6/81	5/20/90		
Trinity	4/76 - 6/80	4/29/90		
Trinity	7/80 - 6/85	5/20/90		
Tulare	10/78 - 6/81	5/22/90		
Tuolumne	7/78 - 6/82	5/22/90		
Yolo	4/77 - 6/79	7/01/90		
Yuba	7/80 - 6/84	1/30/91		

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 89-81.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

#### DOCUMENT SUMMARY

Document Name : p0079attV

Document Title: Attachment to ACL

Operator : mag/fak/PERM Author : DRIEMEYER/BUS.SERV.

Comments: 001/243938

Prototype : (none)

Statistics

	Date/Time			Worktime	Keystrokes
Created Last Revised Last Printed Last Archived Last Retrieved	Wed		9 08:58 0 11:37 0 10:53		15 388
Total	Pages 2	Lines 86	Chars 3580	Worktime 1:46:52	Keystrokes 5918

#### COURT CASES

- A. All case records associated with the following court cases may now be destroyed except for those which:
  - (1) were used in the determination of eligibility (including denials) for or the amount of retroactive benefits. The prior case records used must be retained in accordance with the legal requirements for public assistance records specified in this letter; or
  - (2) require extended retention pursuant to other provisions of this letter.

CASE	ACL	_ACIN_	RECORDS COVERED	PERIOD COVERED
<u>Vaessen</u> v. <u>Woods</u>	80-67	I-150-82	AFDC, RCA & ECA PROGRAMS	1/1/79 - to date
Shaw v. McMahon	85-25 84-109	I-106-84	AFDC	10/1/84 - 4/30/85

B. There are several pending court cases which require extended retention including the following:

Welfare Recipients League v. Woods	84-15 82-15 81-58		AFDC	2/04/82 - to date
Miller v. Woods and Community Services for the Disabled v. Woods (and payment to spouses - WRO v. McMahon)	84-58	I-37-84	IHSS	11/12/78 - 5/31/84
Rutan v. McMahon	88-76		AFDC	6/1/83 - 8/26/86
Grimsey v. McMahon	86-71 87-17 87-31	I-58-86	AFDC	1/18/85 - 6/23/86
<pre>Christine Jones,   et. al. v. Clayton   K. Yeutter</pre>	89-21		Food Stamps	2/17/89 to Date

## COURT CASES

CASE	FSD	ACL	ACIN	RECORDS COVERED	PERIOD COVERED
Monica Hamilton, et. al. v. Richard Lyng		88-91 88-55		Food Stamps	2/1/88 to Date
Abbott v. McMahon	FSDIN I-12-89		I-76-89	AFDC, Child Support	10/01/84 to 12/31/88
Brown v. McMahon			I-04-90	AFDC, Child Support	11/1/84 to 12/31/88
Rodriquez v. McMahon				GAIN	6/30/86 to Present
Windley v. McMahon				GAIN	6/30/86 to Present
Crary v. McMahon				GAIN	6/30/86 to Present
Sanchez v. McMahon				GAIN	6/30/86 to Present